(Art



Docket No. 4328/005

IN THE UNITED STATES DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s)

Krishna Perry et al.

PCT International

Application No.

PCT/CA03/00903

U.S. Serial Number

10/517,687

PCT International

Filing Date

June 11, 2003

U.S. Completion Date

April 19, 2006

Title

PEPTIDES AND PEPTIDOMIMETICS

USEFUL FOR INHIBITING THE ACTIVITY OF PROSTAGLANDIN F₂₀

RECEPTOR

Examiner

[Not Yet Assigned]

Group Art Unit

1646

RESPONSE TO NOTIFICATION OF DEFECTIVE RESPONSE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

This paper is submitted in response to the Formalities Letter entitled "Notification

S:\DawnS\David\PTODocs\Other\Patents\US\MBM\Peptides005\ResponseNotDefectResp.wpd

of Defective Response" (Form PCT/DO/EO/916 [371 Formalities Notice], hereinafter the "Notice"), mailed on December 4, 2006 in the above-identified patent application.

On November 24, 2006, applicants' undersigned counsel filed a paper entitled "Amendment Before Action and Response to Notification To Comply with Requirements for Patent Applications Containing Nucleotide And/or Amino Acid Sequence Disclosures," which incorporated a Biochemical Sequence Listing, was accompanied by a Biochemical Sequence Diskette, was signed by applicants' undersigned counsel on November 17, 2006 and carried a certificate of mailing under Rule 1.8 dated November 17, 2006 (the "Amendment"). According to the Notice, the Amendment was defective in that the accompanying Biochemical Sequence Diskette contained a Sequence Listing in which the symbol "x" was used to represent an amide, rather than to represent a single amino acid, as is required by the Rules, and in that the Biochemical Sequence submitted in paper form as part of the Amendment either contained the same error(s) and was therefore also defective, or if it did not contain the same error(s), then it was not identical to the Sequence Listing submitted in computer-readable form on the diskette and was therefore defective in any event for that reason. Applicants were given a period of one month within which to correct the error(s).

. -- 1 "

Applicants are responding by submitting herewith a corrected Sequence Listing in paper form, comprising the attached twelve (12) pages, as well as a corrected Sequence Listing in computer readable form, contained on the attached diskette, and applicants respectfully request that each of these items be substituted for its respective erroneous counterpart that either was incorporated within, or accompanied, the Amendment. As suggested in the "Raw Sequence Listing Error Report" that was attached to, and formed a part of, the Notice, the corrected Sequence Listing in computer readable form, contained on the attached diskette, has been run through the Checker Version 4.4.0 Program, and no errors were detected.

Applicants undersigned counsel were not provided with an extra, "return" copy of the Notice, *i.e.*, a copy specifically bearing the legend "Part 2 - Copy to be Returned with Response" on the last page, but in view of the direction contained in the Notice that a copy thereof must be submitted together with any non-electronic response, applicants are also submitting herewith a photocopy of the original Notice, *i.e.*, the one bearing the legend "Part 1 - Attorney/Applicant Copy" on the last page.

Since applicants are submitting this response within one month of the mailing date of the Notice (December 4, 2006), no additional extension of time fees are believed

A de la constantina della cons

to be required. Moreover, since the page count of this application (specification plus drawings), as initially filed, exceeded 100 pages but did not exceed 150 pages, applicants previously submitted an application size fee in the amount of \$250.00, and since applicants do not believe that the amendment of this case to include the attached "Sequence Listing" in paper form will increase the page count beyond 150 pages, no additional application size fee is therefore believed to be due at this time either.

Nevertheless, the Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required to Deposit Account No. 07-1730. A duplicate copy of this paper is enclosed for that purpose.

Applicants' undersigned counsel hereby states (1) that the content of the "Sequence Listing" information submitted herewith recorded in computer readable form is identical to the content of the "Sequence Listing" information submitted herewith on paper, and (2) that the content of the "Sequence Listing" information submitted herewith contains no new matter.

Applicants have now remedied all of the shortcomings in the Amendment that were itemized in the Notice. Accordingly, further favorable action in connection with this patent application is earnestly solicited. The Office is invited to contact the undersigned

. . . .

attorney by telephone if it will advance the prosecution of this case.

Respectfully submitted,

GOTTLIEB, RACKMAN & REISMAN Attorneys for Applicants

270 Madison Avenue

New York_New York 10016-0601

(212) 68/4-3/900

(Registration No. 28,725)

Dated:

New York, New York December 15, 2006

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, on:

Date of Deposit December 15, 2006

Kashman; Reg

Representative)

December 15, 2006 (Date of Signature)